1 2 3 4 5 6	KALPANA SRINIVASAN (237460) OLEG ELKHUNOVICH (269238) MICHAEL ADAMSON (<i>Pro hac vice</i>) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90067-6029 Telephone: (310) 789-3100 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150 ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com madamson@susmangodfrey.com				
7 8 9 10	IAN B. CROSBY (<i>Pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, Washington 98101-3000 Telephone: (206) 516-3800 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883 icrosby@susmangodfrey.com				
11 12	Attorneys for Defendants Bitdefender S.R.L. and Bitdefender Inc.				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15	OAKLAND DIVISION				
16	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Case No: 4:17-cv-04790-HSG			
17	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE RE: JUDGE ALSUP'S ORDER GRANTING EARLY MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON '780 PATENT			
18 19 20	v. BITDEFENDER INC., a Florida Corporation, and BITDEFENDER S.R.L., a Romanian Corporation,				
21	Defendants.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
21					
28					

BITDEFENDER'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Bitdefender Inc. and Bitdefender S.R.L. (together, "Bitdefender") file this Request for Judicial Notice of an Order Granting Early Motion for Summary Judgment on Finjan's Patent No. 6,804,780 ("the '780 patent"), which has also been asserted by Finjan in this case. That Order, attached hereto as Exhibit A, was issued by Judge Alsup in the case *Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*, No. C 17-05659 WHA (N.D. Cal.) on August 12, 2018. In granting summary judgment in connection with the '780 patent, the Court construed that patent. This Court held a claim construction hearing on various Finjan patents, including the '780 patent, on June 6, 2018.

For convenience of the Court, the term at issue, construction adopted by the *Juniper* court in granting summary judgment in connection with the '780 patent, and constructions proposed by Bitdefender and Finjan are summarized in the following table:

Patent	Term	Juniper Court's	Bitdefender's	Finjan's
(Claims)		Adopted	Proposed	Proposed
		Construction	Construction	Construction
'780 (1,9,17,18)	"performing a hashing function on the Downloadable together with its fetched software components to generate a Downloadable ID"	"performing a hashing function on the Downloadable together with its fetched software components to generate a single hash value that identifies the contents of both the Downloadable and the fetched components"	"performing a hashing function that operates across the combination of a Downloadable together with its fetched software components to transmute the Downloadable and its fetched components into a unique and reproducible ID	"performing a hashing function on the Downloadable together with its fetched software components to generate a Downloadable ID"
			for that Downloadable"	

Judicial notice is warranted here given a contemporaneous order of the court construing the '780 patent. "[T]he Supreme Court has stressed the particular importance of intrajurisdictional uniformity in claim construction." *Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.*, No. 14-cv-01998-HSG, 2017 WL 550453, at *3 (citing *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 517 U.S. 370, 384 (1996)). DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Case No. 4:17-cv-04790-HSG

RE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

Case 4:17-cv-04790-HSG Document 93 Filed 08/14/18 Page 3 of 3

1	The interest in uniformity would seem to weigh most heavily where, as here, a court in this				
2	District issues a claim construction order shortly before another is likely to be issued in the same				
3	jurisdiction with few if any intervening legal developments. See Rambus v. Hynix Semiconductor				
4	Inc., 569 F. Supp. 2d 946, 965 (N.D. Cal. 2008) (surveying cases including one promoting				
5	"considerable deference to prior decisions unless overruled or undermined by subsequent				
6	legal developments" (citing Sears Petroleum & Transport Corp. v. Archer Daniels Midland Co.,				
7	No. 5:03-CV-1120 (DEP), 2007 WL 2156251, at *8 (N.D.N.Y. July 24, 2007)).				
8	For these reasons, Bitdefender requests judicial notice of the order in Finjan, Inc. v.				
9	Juniper Networks attached as Exhibit A.				
10	Dated: August 14, 2018 KALPANA SRINIVASAN				
11	OLEG ELKHUNOVICH MICHAEL ADAMSON				
12	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.				
13	IAN B. CROSBY SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.				
14	By: /s/ Michael Adamson				
15	Michael Adamson				
16	Attorneys for Defendants Bitdefender S.R.L. and Bitdefender Inc.				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	DEFENDANTS' DEOLIEST FOR HIDICIAL NOTICE Cost No. 4:17 ov. 04700 USC				